

University of Warsaw Faculty of Economic Sciences

Working Papers No. 6/2017 (235)

AN INSTITUTIONAL APPROACH TO TRADE UNIONS' DENSITY. THE CASE OF LEGAL ORIGIN AND POLITICAL IDEOLOGY

JACEK LEWKOWICZ ANNA LEWCZUK

WARSAW 2017



University of Warsaw
Faculty of Economic Sciences

An Institutional Approach to Trade Unions' Density. The Case of Legal Origin and Political Ideology

JACEK LEWKOWICZ Faculty of Economic Sciences University of Warsaw National Bank of Poland e-mail: jlewkowicz@wne.uw.edu.pl ANNA LEWCZUK Faculty of Economic Sciences University of Warsaw e-mail: alewczuk@wne.uw.edu.pl

Abstract

Which institutions may be important in terms of trade unions' density and how significant they are? However, trade unions' status is very different among states, they are still a very meaningful component of labor markets. In this paper we contribute to the debate on the institutions, which may affect the outcome of trade unions in different legal systems. Firstly, we draw on theoretical underpinnings of trade unions' activity and density. Then, we conduct an empirical analysis of the relationships between trade union density in a particular country, its legal origin and government's ideology. In this way the paper enriches an underexploited niche in institutional research devoted to labor market issues.

Keywords:

new institutional economics, institutions, political economy, trade unions, labor market, legal origin, parties' ideologies

JEL: J51, K31, K40, P16

Acknowledgements

The usual disclaimer applies. This research is funded by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Poland (project #DI 2012 009342).

JEL:

https://doi.org/10.26405/WP/WNE/2017/235/006

Working Papers contain preliminary research results. Please consider this when citing the paper. Please contact the authors to give comments or to obtain revised version. Any mistakes and the views expressed herein are solely those of the authors.

1. Introduction

There is a lot of research on trade unions' role in modern labor economics (Oswald 1985; Ebbinghaus 2002; Ashenfelter, Johnson 1969), but this sphere has to be examined with reference to other social sciences due to its complexity. With the interdisciplinarity of academic research in mind, formal institutions should be designed so as to include the trade unions' nature and accomplish selected economic goals at the same time. This work is related to institutional forms of trade unions density, from a law and economics perspective. The main research question is whether legal origins and political parties' ideologies have an impact on union density.

Trade unions' functioning is an interdisciplinary and complex issue (Rees 1989).Research tools taken from law and sociology significantly enrich formal economic trade unions models, making them easier to be applied in a law & economics perspective. The analysis presented in this article consists of three parts: of the presentation of trade unions basic foundations, of the review of literature on legal origins and political parties' ideology and their impact on trade union density, as well as of the empirical model. A particularly important section of this article is the last one, dedicated to an empirical evaluation of union density determinants. The model bases on the panel data for 30 OECD members and is devoted to union density issue. It includes general macroeconomic variables and the aforementioned legal origins and parliamentary ideology.

2. Economic Foundations of Trade Unions

Trade unions may be perceived as a form of voluntary organization associating employees and other social groups which interests are based on employment relationship. The main objective of unions is to protect employees' interests against employers (Wratny, Bednarski 2010). Trade unions are the oldest and best known models of representation linked with employment issues. They are defined as permanent associations, which aims are to keep or to improve working conditions (Webbs, Webbs 2003). This designation is very general, but in fact, trade unions are heterogeneous. Numerous models have been developed among different countries (Lewkowicz 2015). Employees' unions may differ by internal structure, range of agreements made, participation in management processes, relations with government and political parties or by contribution to social dialog (Wratny, Bednarski 2010).

There are different approaches towards trade unions. The divergence approach assumes that differences between them have mostly historical roots (Clegg 1976). This diversity is determined usually by organizational, technological, social, ideological, and political issues. Trade unions activity and involvement are specific for every particular country and this partially results from its unique development (Freeman 1994). On the other hand, the convergence perspective emphasizes common patterns of diffusion between trade unions as a result of globalization and transnational institutions' presence.

Another important classification states that there are five trade unions systems: monocratic, radical-confrontational, pluralistic, corporatist, statist (Gardawski 2001). The monocratic system assumes unions' role as a middleman between the party and society in a totalitarian regime. The radical-confrontational approach indicates a Marxist perspective in capitalistic states. The pluralistic system represents relationships between employees and employers that occur without any substantial role of government. The corporatist approach assumes that unions' important role in labour policy creation and bargaining often has a trilateral character.

The statist system in turn permits the government to intervene in employment issues very seriously.

Additionally, B. Ebbinghaus investigates some European models of trade unions activity: labour unionism, solidaristic unionism, polarized pluralism, sectional pluralism and consolidated unionism (Ebbinghaus, Visser 2000). Labour unionism is relatively typical for the British system. Each trade union there (industrial, professional or general) is independent to a large extent. Solidaristic unionism originated in Scandinavian states. Solidaristic trade unions are much involved in welfare state model activity. Conflicts and political opportunism led to unions' representatives exclusion from political structures (e.g. Spain, Portugal, Italy). Sectional pluralism in turn, assumes that trade unions divide into different groups (religious and secular). Consolidated unionism bases on harmonization and social co-operation that may be reached because of dedicated institutions (Germany, Austria).

Trade unions may be also divided into confrontational, cooperative and focused on cooptation systems (Gardawski 2001). Confrontational unions fight for employees' interests within a conflict with employers. Cooperative targets assume that unions have to accomplish their aims similarly to those dedicated to employed staff. Co-optation is interpreted as a subordination to the employer (evident or hidden).

Three types of unions' activity criteria should be highlighted (Lewkowicz 2015). There are unions whose main aim is to be involved in conflict between work and capital. Secondly, some trade unions focus on improving working conditions (pure unionism). Last but not least, trade unions may try to cope with a broader scope of problems, e.g. linked with labor policy or globalization. These different types of trade unions' activity do not have to be treated as separate (Hollinshead, Leat 1995). There are specific models, that include interactions between several trade unions' goals. For instance, R. Hyman (2001) analyzes the relationships and convergence that link different trade unions. These relationships may concern sectional unionism model, workers service orientation or on employees' role in the society.

Despite the large number of trade unions models and their strong heterogeneity, as well as political circumstances, their existence is still perceived as a necessary element of social order (Wratny, Bednarski 2010). They are a significant social partner and some kind of force contrary to employers (Lewandowski 1996).

Empirical research devoted to trade unions focuses to a large extent on their effects on wages and other labor market variables (e.g. productivity, employment) (Lewkowicz 2015). Studies point out that union wage premium is positive, so in general unions members may earn more (Card 1996). The scope of the premium depends on the way that wages in unionized sectors spread into those non-unionized. Union premium wages differ strongly between countries (Blanchflower, Bryson 2002).

Higher bargaining power of trade unions results in the increase of wages. It depends in turn not only political links of a particular union, but also on union density. The more members a trade union has, the more bargaining power it gets. However, its impact on employment level is ambiguous (Card 1990). Trade unions are sometimes treated as a factor, which restrains the firm's development. Employers limited by trade unions' claim not to be able to use firm's potential fully (Addison, Hirsch 1986). Thus, as a result, unionized companies may be less attractive for investors (Machin, Wadhwani 1999). On the contrary, another studies reveal that trade unions support the management and assist knowledge diffusion (Freeman, Medoff 1985). Trade unions may influence firm's productiveness positively, because of employment rotation scale lowering. Wages negotiated by trade unions' representatives could motivate employees to perform better (Cahuc, Kramarz 1997). There are some economic models

assuming convergence of trade unions' and firms' objectives. Then trade unions should support the development of firms (Aidt, Sena 2005). It is difficult to judge trade unions clearly, i.a. because of a hardship in isolating the casual effect of unions' activity from any selection biases. However, effects of their activity are related to their bargaining power and bargaining power depends mostly on union density.

3. Trade Union Density

Trade unions are perceived as successful organizations, if they manage to provide a collective protection of employment and in wage bargaining. The power of trade unions raises when union density is higher. Their bargaining power usually develops then. Union density in turn, is dependent not only on a particular trade union characteristics (i.a. selective benefits or reputation), but also on institutional issues and political management of reforms (Lesch 2004).

There is a broad literature on trade union membership (density), both in theoretical and empirical dimensions. Some papers contribute to bridge the gap between theoretical developments in economic models devoted to trade unions density and empirical framework (Checchi and Corneo 2000). For example Checchi and Corneo stress out the importance of so called social custom and other strategic factors. Their empirical model is narrowed to the Italian case. Authors reveal that in this particular circumstances, the social custom effect does not affect union membership. Their analyses provide a conclusion that Italian union representatives act strategically i.e. in order to promote unionism in periods of large available surplus. What is more, both labour legislation being in favor of union membership and the degree of centralization of union activity, foster unionization.

There are also other studies regarding the determinants of unionization during the last century. For instance, in Finland union density has risen about 60 percentage points in 32 years (Pehkonen and Tanninen 1997). Authors of the paper base on theoretical underpinnings, with special attention on the institutional features of the Finnish labour market. Particularly, they take into account some background information obtained from surveys including questions why workers join or remain members of a union. Their findings concerning the period 1960-1992 reveal that empirical models are capable of explaining long-run changes in union density in a quite satisfactory degree. Institutional features of the Finnish labour market play a crucial role in determining union density (characterized mainly by the benefit mark-up and legislative changes and public policy).

Short-run and long-run analyses show that union membership decline during the 1980s and 1990s is endogenous to a large extent to labour market changes. The impact of such changes is being mediated by a specific set of labour market institutions (Checchi and Visser 2005). In Europe, union density rates declined because of unemployment development, drop in public employment, inflation decrease, new workplaces less covered by unions and strike activity decline. However, it is important that institutional differences account for diverging union density rates in European countries. Even effects of economic globalization are marginal in terms of particular economic institutions that help to explain divergent trends in trade union density (Scruggs and Lange 2002).

It seems that some institutions crowd out trade unions. For instance, job security legislation or wage indexation may result in lowering trade union density. On the other hand, some institutions are associated with higher degree of unionization – e.g. workplace representation or centralized wage bargaining (Checchi and Lucifora 2002). An important finding is that

there is no generalized downward trend in European trade union density. Aggregate figures tend to present a very heterogeneous picture of unionization.

Trade union membership differs across countries. Particular legal and political factors determine the shape of unionization. As a result many empirical studies suffer measurement errors or inability to quantify some of the strategic factors. An example of such a strategic factor may be the quality of union leadership (Ashenfelter and Pencavel 1969).

4. Legal Origin and Political Parties

It is necessary to refer to literature on labour regulation and legal origins. It may be stated that labour market legislation usually refers to different spheres, like minimum working conditions, minimum wages and many others (Siebert 2005). What is important is that labour regulation requires also a monitoring mechanism, conducted by trade unions or labour inspector or both. Siebert claims that both the political and the legal origin, may serve as the reasons behind particular labour market policies. The political theory is linked with the median voter theory. For instance, the median voter usually benefits from attractive wages and good working conditions. On the other hand, unemployed people are too dispersed to make some significant difference to political issues. Naturally, political parties strive to gain as much votes during the political cycle, as they can. Additionally, the legal origin theory can be applied to this problem as long as it influences the path dependence. The legal systems may be laden by specific regulations that are hard to change (high transaction costs of changes), for instance the French or German legal traditions. The English legal system is perceived as free-market tradition, which is much more elastic.

Studies on the importance of the legal origin on the path of economic development undoubtedly may provide some inspiring conclusions for analyses of trade union density. Ahlering and Deakin (2007) check if the common law of civil law origins of legal systems have influenced the development in different countries from economic perspective. It becomes more and more convincing that the law is significant for economic development. It is also often stated that common law institutions are better suited to the promotion of marketbased economic systems. Ahlering and Deakin however came to a conclusion that the legal indexing methods, which are used by the legal origin school, are able to measure only formal law. Thus, they provide a relatively weak proxy of the economic and social impact of legal regulations. They also state that the theoretical basis dedicated for the legal origin claim is weak. In fact, the legal origin school does not describe properly the common law and civil law division. It is true, since those two systems are in fact incomparable, so it cannot be judged wisely, which system is better. Nevertheless, the legal origin issue remains very important in terms of path dependence.

In the long run, legal styles and origins have an influence on economic development. Additionally, enduring complementarities between legal and economic institutions may be significant for the diversity in labor regulation across states (Ahlering and Deakin 2007). As long as the legal origin hypothesis suffers from limitations, deeper engagement with historical evidence is being proposed as a solution to this impasse. It becomes visible that a critical factor to the relationships between the legal system and economic development, is the timing of industrialization with reference to the core legal institutions of market economies. Naturally, it regards also labour markets. For instance, the Britain's early Industrial Revolution began before legal regulations for the employment relationships were introduced and in France or Germany, this sequence was reversed – the modernization of the legal

systems preceded industrialization. In should be also noticed that national legal systems are specific and may be classified regarding legal orders just to some extent.

Cross-national differences in union density are also explained by the size of the labour force (Wallerstein 1989). It may occur as long as trade unions' gains due to collective bargaining depend on the proportion of substitutable employees that are organized. Additionally, trade unions in larger and more developed labour markets will accept lower levels of unionization. On the other hand, trade unions being present on smaller labour markets, may gain high levels of unionization more cheaply. What is crucial for this paper, also the cumulative participation of the leftist parties in government explain most of the labour force and the cumulative participation of the leftist parties in government explain almost three-quarters of the variance in trade union density).

New dynamic models of union density may in turn exhibit multiple equilibria and pathdependency (Palley and LaJeunesse 2007). Also recent works on labour market and union density emphasize the significance of the state and socio-economic factors that may have an impact on public attitudes to trade unions. In fact, trade unions are institutions, which exist within a society. Their ability to develop is dependent mostly on labour law and public support. Legal rules as well as administrative rules or restrictions, really matter (Morris 1998). In more detailed terms, laws that govern employer rights of dismissal, employee rights to obtain redress in case of unfair dismissal or employee rights to form unions are critical (Palley and LaJeunesse 2007). In other studies, crucial institutions for union density have been identified: strong working class political parties, union-run employment insurance and centralized collective bargaining (Western 1997). These factors are inevitably linked with the supply and demand for union services, which affect union density in the next step.

The impact of the leftist parties in government on unionization is observable in most studies. Bean and Holden (2001) prove that higher trade union density is associated with centralization of wage bargaining, higher percentage of employees covered by collective bargaining, as well as a larger public sector and a more leftist parties in governments, regarding selected 16 OECD countries in the 1980s¹. It could have occurred, because leftist parties are usually pro-labour in their ideology (equality and social justice). Thus, leftist parties very often support the labour movement and may lead to higher union density.

The main aim of the paper is to use the law & economics research apparatus to address the question whether the legal origin and parliament parties' ideology matter in terms of union density. Although there is a broad literature on the role of trade unions and union density, detailed research on the importance of the legal origin with reference to unionization, seems to constitute a lacuna. Additionally, there have been attempts to reveal the significance of parliamentary ideology. However, these studies covered the problem just in a small fraction (it was usually an additional issue within the papers described above).

¹ Sweden, Denmark, Belgium, Norway, Austria, New Zealand, Australia, Ireland, UK, Italy, West Germany, Switzerland, Canada, Netherlands, Japan, France, USA.

5. The Model

5.1.<u>Database and variables</u>

In our empirical analysis we use the panel data for 30 OECD members² for period 1995-2014. The selection of the countries to the research has been predetermined by the availability of the data. In the database there are missing observations, therefore all of our calculations are conducted on the unbalanced panel data set.

For the purpose of our analysis we have divided variables into three groups i.e. labour force characteristics variables, economy characteristics variables and institutional characteristics variables. Therefore the key regression of our research is as follows:

$trade_density_{it} = LFCh_{it} + ECh_{it} + ICh_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$, where

LFCh_{it} is a set of labour force characteristics variables that are: percentage of females in the labour force (*female_proc*), percentage of labour force in the age 15-24 (*lf_less_24*), percentage of labour force employed in the industry sector (*proc_industry*), percentage of labour force employed in the services sector (*proc_services*). *ECh_{it}* stands for the economic characteristics of a given country and is expressed by: unemployment (*unempl*), inflation (*infl*), GDP *per capita* growth (*gdp_growth*), population growth (*pop_growth*). Finally, institutional characteristics (*ICh_{it}*) variables are: legal origins (*englo, gerlo, scanlo, soclo, frlo*), ideology of the head of the government (*centr_ideology, right_ideology, left_ideology*) and percentage of the leftist parties in the Parliament (*parl_left*). Detailed information about the construction and sources of abovementioned variables has been provided in the Appendix 1. Appendix 2 presents the descriptive statistics. All variables except for legal origins variables are time varying.

5.2. Analysis of variance model - two-way ANOVA

The first part of our empirical research concentrates on the issue of the relationship between the trade union density in a given country, its legal origin and government's ideology. According to the theory institutional environment in which trade unions function should have a impact on their number. Countries by their legal system can somehow support the trade unions' existence for example by convenient procedures of their establishment, by providing in the constitution the right to form or to join trade unions or by protecting the rights of the trade union members from the employer's or state's interference. We assume that the channels of such support are predetermined by the legal origin of a country and political ideology of the government. Table 1 presents the mean values of the trade union density in groups determined by country's legal origin and its head of government ideology.

² Australia, Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, South Korea, Luxembourg, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States.

	head of government				
legalorigins	center	left	right		
English	25.563	26.272	26.03		
French	29.85	22.181	27.978		
German	19.086	25.701	20.092		
Scandinavian	34.998	66.181	60.281		
Socialist	23.729	26.345	23.463		

Table 1 Mean values of the trade unions density in groups determined by the legal origin and the ideology of the head of the government (for period 1995-2014)

Source: authors' calculations.

Brief analysis of the data enables to conclude that probably the mean values of trade union density vary among countries of different legal origin and political ideology of the head of the government. The highest values of the trade union density have been reported for the leftist countries of the Scandinavian legal origin and the lowest for the centrist countries with legal system of German origin. Therefore the preliminary aim of our analysis is to test whether there is a significant difference between the mean values of the trade union density in groups of countries of different legal origin and government ideology.

The model that should be applied to verify this issue is a two-way analysis of variance model (two-way ANOVA). In case of our data we reported violations of the standard assumptions of ANOVA i.e. *inter alia* the trade union density data in groups of countries of a different legal origin do not have a normal distribution. However, in the literature it is stated that ANOVA is rather robust to the assumption of normal distribution of the observations and of homogeneity of variances as long as we have relatively large groups in the sample (more than 20 observations in each group) free from outliers (Theodorsson-Norheim 1986). In case of our study we work with a large sample (574 observations) and for each group of countries we have more than 20 observations (Table 2).

group	number of observations
countries of English legal origin	118
countries of socialist legal origin	94
countries of German legal origin	96
countries of French legal origin	170
countries of Scandinavian legal origin	96
countries with leftist ideology	199
countries of rightist ideology	280
countries of centrist ideology	95

 Table 2 Number of observations in groups

Source: Authors' own calculations

Table 3 presents the results of the estimation of the two-way ANOVA model. The obtained values of F statistics suggest that there exist significant differences of mean values of trade union density data in groups of countries of different legal origin and government ideology.

Table 3	Two-way	ANOVA
---------	---------	-------

source	partial SS degrees of freedom		MS	F	probability>F
model	102504.81	14	7321.772	41.72	0.0000
legalorigins	60190.06	4	15047.515	85.74	0.0000
governmentideology	entideology 2478.682		1239.341	7.06	0.0009
legalorigins # governmentideology	12568.549	8	1571.069	8.95	0.0000
residual	98109.006	559	175.508		
total	200613.816	573	350.111		
number of observations	574				
Root MSE	13.248				
R ²	0.5110				

Source: Authors' own calculations

What is more, there is a significant interaction between independent variables (legal origin and government ideology) on dependent variable (trade union density). In other words the effect of legal origin of the country on the trade union density is influenced by the government ideology. To investigate the details of these interactions it is essential to conduct a graphical analysis. Graph 1 presents the interactions between legal origins and government ideology. From the graph it may be seen that for countries of Scandinavian, English, Socialist and German legal origins the mean values of the trade union density are higher when the head of the government represents leftist ideology and lower when she or he is of rightist ideology. For countries of French legal origin the dependence is of the opposite direction. Graph 1 Interactions between legal origins and government ideology. Source: Authors' calculation.



From the outcomes of the aforementioned analysis it appears that both country's government ideology and its legal origin may be perceived as determinants of the trade union density.

5.3. Panel data estimation

In order to specify the determinants of trade union density in OECD countries we have conducted a panel data regression. Table 4 presents the results of the panel data estimation for two models - pooled Ordinary Least Squares (pooled OLS) regression and Prais-Winsten regression with correlated panels and corrected standard errors. Such specification has been chosen on the basis of several diagnostics tests i.e. Jarque-Bera test of normality of residuals, Wald test of heteroscedasticity, Pesaran test of cross-sectional dependence and Woolridge test for first-order autocorrelation. The outcomes of the tests indicate that the model does not fulfil assumptions indispensable for standard fixed effects or random effects estimation.³ Therefore, panel-correlated standard error estimates have been calculated. This method is an alternative to feasible generalised least squares for fitting linear cross-sectional time-series models when the disturbances are not assumed to be independent and identically distributed. In this method it is assumed that disturbances are heteroscedastic and correlated across panels. An additional option specifying that there is a correlation across panels has been chosen and Prais-Winsten estimator has been used.

Table 4 presents the outcomes of panel data pooled estimations. The results of more reliable Prais-Winsten estimation suggest the presence of 6 significant determinants of the trade union density that are related to either characteristics of the labour force or of the economy or of the institutional environment. With regard to the first ones we confirm the relevance of the percentage of women in the labour force, the scope of the employment in the industry and services. According to our results women tend to engage less in the trade union activity than

³Jarque-Bera test: χ_2^2 statistics equals 69.08, Wald test: χ_{26}^2 equals 9088.42, Pesaran test of cross-sectional dependence 2.433, Woolridge test statistics F(1, 25) equals 142.735.

men do. An increase of employment in the industry - not unexpectedly as trade union activity is traditionally associated with industrial sector - causes an increase in the trade union density. On the contrary a rise of employment in services should contribute to its decline. Furthermore, within the scope of economic determinants we have found a significant positive effects of wage (although it is almost equal zero), unemployment and inflation. The effect of the unemployment may be attributed to the fact that people faced with the risk of losing their job, seek protection within the structures of trade unions that dispose of measures to protect their members form dismissals. Rising inflation contributes to higher trade union density. It may occur as long as employees seem to perceive trade unions as organizations successful in bargaining over wages. Thus, inflation may lead to wage pressure and employees are more prone to join unions then. Finally, our model indicates a significant impact of legal origins of the country on the trade union density. The pooled OLS estimation indicate sin addition the relevance of percentage of labour force in age less than 24, of population growth and of percentage of seats in the Parliament taken by leftist parties. To sum up, countries with higher levels of trade union density are those which, ceteris paribus, have the labour force characterised by low proportion of women in the labour force, high employment in the industry comparing to the one in other sectors and high unemployment. They should be also characterised by inflation.

Table 4 Results of estimations

	Coefficients					
Independent variables	Pooled OLS Regression	Prais-Winsten regression, correlated panels corrected standard errors				
	6.673X10 ⁻⁴ ***	2.815X10 ⁻⁴ ***				
wage	(6.90)	(3.95)				
formale much	-1.44***	-1.216***				
jemale_proc	(-6.25)	(-7.39)				
If logg 24	-0.506***	-0.04				
<i>lj_less_24</i>	(-2.68)	(-0.27)				
ada anouth	0.078	0145				
gap_growin	(0.49)	(-0.29)				
non grouth	-2.87***	-0.195				
pop_growin	(-2.69)	(-0.79)				
nuce induction	1.494***	0.99***				
proc_indusiry	(7.13)	(6.47)				
puos comisos	-0.192	-0.177***				
proc_services	(-1.25)	(-2.05)				
ngul laft	0.0458***	0.002				
pari_leji	(2.38)	(0.26)				
	-0.172	0.137***				
unempi	(-1.32)	(2.00)				
ind	0.95***	0.208***				
INJI	(4.94)	(2.96)				
anala	-44.59***	-45.932***				
engio	(-24.27)	(-61.26)				
soclo	-59.69***	-56.088***				

frlo	(-26.05) -47.883*** (-26.46)	(-26.34) -46.652*** (-48.45)	
scanlo	-		
gerlo	-54.477*** (-26.78)	-50.569*** (-38.21)	
right_ideology	-	-	
centr_ideology	-2.256 (-1.64)	-0.187 (-0.40)	
left_ideology	-2.353 (-1.47)	0.3821 (0.80)	
constant	109.507*** (6.31)	113.207*** (11.88)	
number of observations/			
number of groups	447/-	447/26	
R^2	79.75	81.51	
F-statistic	105.81	-	
Wald X_{16}^2	-	18344.86	

Source: authors' calculations.

6. Conclusions

To sum up, in this paper the main focus was on determinants of union density. Despite the fact that trade unions are very heterogeneous and differ strongly among states, they usually play an important role as a partner of a social dialogue and as a labour market actor in a broader perspective. In addition, economic effects of unions' activity seem to be ambiguous. It may be stated that bargaining power of trade unions depends on several factors, but on membership level above all. Thus, we refer to literature on union density. Factors like individual characteristics of trade unions, leftist ideology among political parties and English legal origins seem to affect union density positively.

The empirical model regarding 30 OECD members reveals that factors statistically significant for trade union density are: the percentage of women in the labour force, the scope of the employment in the industry and services, wages level, unemployment and inflation rates. It is crucial also that legal origins of the particular country have a significant impact on the union density. However, this clue seems to be more sophisticated than usually presented in the literature. Last, but not least, leftist ideology among political parties affects trade union density.

With this paper we aimed to contribute to a better understanding of the nature of union density, with special reference to the significance of legal origins and political parties' ideology. Given the gaps and inconsonance in the existing literature, we believe that applying the proposed approach, in future studies of labour market institutions and their factual execution will lead to more consistency and less confusion in the analyses conducted by theoretical and empirical researchers in the field. As a final step, the more systematic empirical analysis will allow for formulating more reliable policy recommendations.

References

Aidt T. S., Sena V., Unions: Rent Creators or Extractors?, "The Scandinavian Journal of Economics" 2005, 107 (1), 103-121.

Addison J. T., Hirsch B. T., Union Effects on Productivity, Profits, and Growth: Has the Long Run Arrived?, "Journal of Labor Economics" 1986, 7 (1), 72-105.

Ahlering B., Deakin S., Labor Regulation, Corporate Governance, and Legal Origin: A Case of Institutional Complementarity?, "Law & Society Review" 2007, 41 (4), 865-908.

Ashenfelter O., Johnson G. E., *Bargaining Theory, Trade Unions, and Industrial Strike Activity,* "The American Economic Review" 1969, 59 (1), 35-49.

Ashenfelter O., Pencavel J. H., *American Trade Union Growth: 1900-1960,* "The Quarterly Journal of Economics" 1969, 83 (3), 434-448.

Bean R., Holden K., Cross-national differences in trade union membership in OECD countries, "Industrial Relations Journal" 1992, 23 (1), 52-59.

Blanchflower D., Bryson A., *Changes over time in the UK and the US revisited*, "NBER Working Paper" 2002, 9395.

Cahuc P., Kramarz F., *Voice and Loyalty as a Delegation of Authority: a Model and a Test on Matched Worker-Firm Panels,* "Journal of Labor Economics" 1997, 15 (4), 658-688.

Card D., Unexpected Inflation, Real Wages, and Employment Determination in Union Contracts, "American Economic Review" 1990, 80 (4), 669-688.

Card D., The Effect of Unions on the Structure of Wages: A Longitudinal Analysis, "Econometrica" 1996, 64, 957-979.

Checchi D., Corneo G., *Trade union membership. Theory and evidence for Italy*, "Lavoro e RelazioniIndustriali" 2000, 2, 1-36.

Checchi D., Lucifora C., Unions and Labour Market Institutions in Europe, "Economic Policy" 2002, 17 (35), 361-408.

Checchi D., Visser J., Pattern Persistence in European Trade Union Density: A Longitudinal Analysis 1950-1996, "European Sociological Review" 2005, 21 (1), 1-21.

Clegg H. A., Trade Unionism under Collective Bargaining, Blackwell, Oxford 1976.

Ebbinghaus B., *Trade Unions' Changing Role: Membership Erosion, Organizational Reform, and Social Partnership in Europe,* "Industrial Relations Journal" 2002, 33 (5), 465-483.

Ebbinghaus B., Visser J., Trade unions in Western Europe since 1945, Macmillan, 2000.

Freeman R. B., Working under Different Rules, Russel Sage, New York 1994.

Freeman R. B., Medoff J. L., What do unions do?, Basic Books, New York 1985.

Gardawski J., Związki zawodowe na rozdrożu (Trade Unionsat the Crossroads), Instytut Spraw Publicznych, Warsaw 2001.

Hollinshead G., Leat M., *Human Resources Management: An International and Comparative Perspective*, Pitman, London 1995.

Hyman R., Understanding European Trade Unions. Between Market, Class and Society, Sage, London 2001.

Lesch H., *Trade Union Density in International Comparison*, "CESifo Forum" 2004, 4, 12-18.

Lewandowski H. (ed.)., *Syndykalizm współczesny i jego przyszłość* (Modern Syndicalism and its Future), Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego, Łódź 1996.

Lewkowicz J., Economic Analysis of Labor Law: The Evaluation of Trade Unions' Foundations, Models and Valid Regulation, [in]: Bełdowski J., Metelska-Szaniawska K., Visscher L. (ed.), Polish Yearbook of Law & Economics, C. H. Beck, Warsaw 2015.

Machin S., Wadhwani S., *The Effects of Unions on Investment and Innovation: Evidence from WIRS*, "Economic Journal" 1999, 101, 324-330.

Oswald A. J., *The Economic Theory of Trade Unions: An Introductory Survey*, "Scandinavian Journal of Economics" 1985, 87 (2), 160-193.

Palley T. I., LaJeunesse R. M., *Social attitudes, labor law, and union organizing: Toward a new economics of union density,* "Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization" 2007, 62, 237-254.

Pehkonen J., Tanninen H., Institutions, Incentives and Trade Union Membership, "Labour" 1997, 11 (3), 579-598.

Scruggs L., Lange P., Where Have All the Members Gone? Globalization, Institutions, and Union Density, "The Journal of Politics" 2002, 64 (1), 126-153.

Siebert W. S., *Labour Market Regulation: Some Comparative Lessons*, "Economic Affairs" 2005, 25 (3), 3-10.

Theodorsson-Norheim E., *Kruskal-Wallis test: BASIC computer program to perform nonparametric one-way analysis of variance and multiple comparisons on ranks of several independent samples*, "Computer methods and programs in biomedicine" 1986, 23(1), 57-62.

Wallerstein M., Union Organization in Advanced Industrial Democracies, "The American Political Science Review" 1989, 83 (2), 481-501.

Webbs B., Webbs S., History of Trade Unionism, Barnes & Noble Digital, 2003.

Wratny J., Bednarski M. (ed.)., Związki zawodowe a niezwiązkowe przedstawicielstwa pracownicze w gospodarce posttransformacyjnej (Trade Unions and Non-Unionized Employees' Organizations in the Post-Transformational Economy), Instytut Pracy i Spraw Socjalnych, Warsaw 2010.

Appendix 1. Description and sources of variables

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION	SOURCE
trade_density	trade union density defined as the ratio of wage and salary earners that are trade union members divided by the total number of wage and salary earners	OECD.Stat, Dataset: LFS
female_proc	percentage of female in the labour force	OECD.Stat, Dataset: LFS
lf_less_24	percentage of the labour force aged 15- 24	OECD.Stat, Dataset: LFS
gdp_growth	annual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency	World Development Indicators, Wold Bank
pop_growth	Population growth (annual %)	World Development Indicators, Wold Bank
infl	Inflation as measured by the consumer price index.	World Development Indicators, Wold Bank
unempl	unemployment, total (% of total labor force)	World Development Indicators, Wold Bank
proc_industry	employment in the industry (including energy) as a percentage of total employment	OECD.Stat, Dataset: LFS
proc_services	employment in the services sector as a percentage of total employment	OECD.Stat, Dataset: LFS
parl_left	percentage of seats in the Parliament occupied by leftist parties	Klaus Armingeon, Christian Isler, David Weisstanner and Laura Knöpfel. 2016. Supplement to the Comparative Political Data Set – Government Composition 1960- 2014. Bern: Institute of Political Science, University of Berne
englo	a binary variable, for the country of English legal origin it takes the value 1	La Porta R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, R. Vishny, "The Quality of Government." "Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization" 1999, 15,222-279.
soclo	a binary variable, for the country of socialist legal origin it takes the value 1	La Porta R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, R. Vishny, "The Quality of Government." "Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization" 1999, 15,222-279.

frlo	a binary variable, for the country of French legal origin it takes the value 1	La Porta R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, R. Vishny, "The Quality of Government." "Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization" 1999, 15,222-279.
scanlo	a binary variable, for the country of Scandinavian legal origin it takes the value 1	La Porta R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, R. Vishny, "The Quality of Government." "Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization" 1999, 15,222-279.
gerlo	a binary variable, for the country of German legal origin it takes the value 1	La Porta R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, R. Vishny, "The Quality of Government." "Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization" 1999, 15,222-279.
right_ideology	a binary variable, it takes the value 1 for the ideological orientation of the head of government - RIGHT (i.e. conservative, Christian democratic, market-liberal). Missing data have been imputed by authors.	Thomas Brambor , Johannes Lindvall, Annika Stjernquist, The Ideology of Heads of Government (HOG), 1870-2012. Sweden: Department of Political Science, Lund University.
centr_ideology	a binary variable, it takes the value 1 for the ideological orientation of the head of government - CENTER (i.e.various centrist ideologies, especially social liberalism). Missing data have been imputed by authors	Thomas Brambor , Johannes Lindvall, Annika Stjernquist, The Ideology of Heads of Government (HOG), 1870-2012. Sweden: Department of Political Science, Lund University.
left_ideology	a binary variable, it takes the value 1 for the ideological orientation of the head of government - LEFT (i.e. communist, socialist, social democratic, or with an otherwise strongly redistributive platform). Missing data have been imputed by authors	Thomas Brambor , Johannes Lindvall, Annika Stjernquist, The Ideology of Heads of Government (HOG), 1870-2012. Sweden: Department of Political Science, Lund University.

			Standard			Number of
Variable		Mean	deviation	Min	Max	observations
	overall	30.38242	18.71126	5.654338	83.13813	N = 574
trade density	between		18.2851	7.901695	74.92628	n = 30
	within		4.980289	15.89427	59.73573	T = 19.1333
	overall	34819.88	11853.95	8571	60196	N = 588
wage	between		11566.45	14748.65	54698.5	n = 30
	within		2999.468	25537.23	44520.23	T-bar = 19.6
	overall	44.27582	3.214984	32.5393	50.85168	N = 600
female_proc	between		3.020639	34.80754	49.14098	n = 30
	within		1.225267	39.04783	48.5274	T = 20
	overall	12.96765	4.081255	6.004952	29.45849	N = 595
lf_less_24	between		3.622459	8.119653	23.02181	n = 30
	within		1.982508	6.030328	19.40433	T-bar = 19.8333
	overall	2.427623	2.889524	-14.7244	11.7986	N = 598
adn arowth	between		1.073123	.5949518	4.616528	n = 30
gup_growin				-		
	within		2.690209	16.71889	9.804104	T-bar = 19.9333
	overall	.5663027	.6783836	-1.7854	2.89096	N = 600
pop_grow	between		5882219	- 5333314	2 099166	n = 30
	within		.3538001	-1.09698	2.694526	T = 20
	overall	17.67686	5.45781	9.164394	32.67896	N = 532
proc industry	between		5.03766	11.21641	29.72359	n = 30
	within		1.891681	13.17504	23.35362	T-bar = 17.7333
	overall	76.99985	7.978394	53.31408	89.66506	N = 512
proc services	between		7.456238	61.3635	86.41893	n = 29
1 <u> </u>	within		2.927465	67.55911	83.42856	T-bar = 17.6552
	overall	39.48946	38.29513	0	100	N = 540
110	between		16.97504	0	65.233	n = 27
pari_ieji				-		
	within		34.47496	25.74354	114.5575	T = 20
unempl	overall	7.594167	4.08822	1.8	27.2	N = 600
	between		3.341619	3.64	16.74	n = 30
	within		2 429262	- 7458339	22 05417	T = 20
			>_02	-		
infl	overall	3.112272	3.920998	4.479938	34.99928	N = 600
ırıjı	between		2.227763	.080999	9.707469	n = 30
	within		3.250956	-5.68434	28.40408	T = 20

Appendix 2. Descriptive statistics

Overall		erall	Between		
Variable	Value	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
	0	480	80	24	80
50010	1	120	20	6	20
scanlo	0	519	86.64	26	86.64
scanto	1	80	13.36	4	13.36
frelo	0	420	70	21	70
5/10	1	180	30	9	30
	0	480	80	24	80
engio	1	120	20	6	20
garlo	0	500	83.33	25	83.33
gerio	1	100	16.67	5	16.67
contr ideology	0	502	83.67		
centr_taeology	1	98	16.33		
left_ideology	0	392	65.33		
	1	208	34.67		
right_ideology	0	306	51		
	1	294	49		



Faculty of Economic Sciences University of Warsaw 44/50 Długa St. 00-241 Warsaw www.wne.uw.edu.pl